

Inverse Spectral Problems in Rectangular Domains

Gregory Eskin and James Ralston, UCLA

Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555, USA

email: eskin@math.ucla.edu, ralston@math.ucla.edu

Abstract. We consider the Schrödinger operator $-\Delta + q$ in domains of the form $R = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : 0 \leq x_i \leq a_i, i = 1, \dots, n\}$ with either Dirichlet or Neumann boundary conditions on the faces of R , and study the constraints on q imposed by fixing the spectrum of $-\Delta + q$ with these boundary conditions. We work in the space of potentials, q , which become real-analytic on $\mathbb{R}^n$ when they are extended evenly across the coordinate planes and then periodically. Our results have the corollary that there are no continuous isospectral deformations for these operators within that class of potentials. This work is based on new formulas for the trace of the wave group in this setting. In addition to the inverse spectral results these formulas lead to asymptotic expansions for the traces of the wave and heat kernels on rectangular domains.

§1. Introduction

We consider the Schrödinger operator, $H = -\Delta + q(x)$, in the rectangular domain $R = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : 0 \leq x_i \leq a_i, i = 1, \dots, n\}$ and on each of the 2^n faces of ∂R , $R \cap \{x_i = 0 \text{ or } x_i = a_i\}$ we impose either Dirichlet or Neumann boundary conditions. Let

$$\mu_1 < \mu_2 \leq \mu_3 \leq \dots$$

be the spectrum of the self-adjoint operator, also denoted by H , that is obtained in this way. We take R and $\{\mu_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ as given, and study what constraints these data put on q .

This work depends strongly on the geometry of rectangular domains, and involves many reflections, translations, etc. Since all of this will be easier to follow once one has seen it in a simple case, we have moved the statements and proofs of the general results to §5. In this Introduction and §2-§4 we will restrict ourselves to the case $n = 2$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions, i.e. we will work in the rectangle

$$R = \{(x_1, x_2) : 0 \leq x_1 \leq a, 0 \leq x_2 \leq b\},$$

with the boundary condition $u = 0$ on ∂R .

For our results we need to extend q to an even periodic potential on $\mathbb{R}^2$: we extend q to Q on $\mathbb{R}^2$ by defining

$$Q(-x_1, x_2) = q(x_1, x_2) \text{ for } x \in R,$$

$$Q(x_1, -x_2) = Q(x_1, x_2) \text{ for } |x_1| \leq a, 0 \leq x_2 \leq b, \text{ and}$$

$$Q(x_1 + 2ma, x_2 + 2nb) = Q(x_1, x_2) \text{ for } |x_1| \leq a, |x_2| \leq b, \text{ and } (m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2.$$

Thus Q is periodic with respect to the lattice $L = \{(2ma, 2nb), m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. To L we associate the dual lattice

$$L^* = \{\delta \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \delta \cdot d \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } d \in L\},$$

and expand Q in a Fourier series

$$Q(x) = \sum_{\delta \in L^*} a_\delta e^{2\pi i \delta \cdot x}.$$

Let S denote the set of elements of S which are maximal in the sense that $\{\delta \cdot d, d \in L\} = \mathbb{Z}$. For our lattice $S = \{(m/(2a), n/(2b)) : m \text{ and } n \text{ are relatively prime}\}$. Then we can decompose Q

$$Q(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\delta \in S} \left(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{k\delta} e^{2\pi i k \delta \cdot x} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\delta \in S} Q_\delta(\delta \cdot x),$$

where

$$Q_\delta(s) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{k\delta} e^{2\pi i k s}.$$

Note that this decomposition holds if, but only if, $a_{(0,0)} = \int_R q dx = 0$. However, in all dimensions (see §6) one can recover $\int_R q dx$ from the asymptotics of the trace $\sum \exp(-\mu_j t)$ as $t \rightarrow 0$. So given isospectral potentials, subtracting this constant from each of them, one can replace them by isospectral potentials satisfying $\int_R q dx = 0$. Note also that $Q_{-\delta}(s) = Q_\delta(-s)$ which gives rise to the factor $1/2$ in these formulas. As in [ERT1] we call Q_δ a “directional potential”. Since $Q(x) = Q(-x)$, we have $Q_\delta(s) = Q_\delta(-s)$. The directional potentials corresponding to coordinate directions, i.e. $\delta_1 = (1/(2a), 0)$ and $\delta_2 = (0, 1/(2b))$, only depend on the coordinates x_1 and x_2 respectively, and we denote them by $q_1(x_1)$ and $q_2(x_2)$. The main result of the first part of this article is:

Theorem 1.1. Assume that $-\Delta + q$ and $-\Delta + \tilde{q}$ have the same Dirichlet spectrum on R . If a^2/b^2 is irrational and the extensions Q and $\tilde{Q}$ of q and $\tilde{q}$ described above are real-analytic on $\mathbb{R}^2$, then

- a) for any $\delta \in S$ with no zero components the operators $-|\delta|^2 d^2/ds^2 + Q_\delta(s)$ and $-|\delta|^2 d^2/ds^2 + \tilde{Q}_\delta(s)$ with periodic boundary conditions on $[0, 1]$ have the same spectrum.
- b) the pairs of operators, $-d^2/dx_1^2 + q_1(x_1)$ and $-d^2/dx_1^2 + \tilde{q}_1(x_1)$, and, $-d^2/dx_2^2 + q_2(x_2)$ and $-d^2/dx_2^2 + \tilde{q}_2(x_2)$, have the same spectrum for Dirichlet boundary conditions on $[0, a]$ and $[0, b]$ respectively.

Part a) of Theorem 1.1 was a surprise. In the case of periodic boundary conditions it was natural that the directional potentials would be isospectral for periodic boundary conditions, but we did not anticipate that this would be true for Dirichlet conditions. Another unexpected result in comparison to the periodic case is the rigidity of the directional potentials Q_δ for δ in the “oblique directions” in a). Since Q_δ is even, the isospectral set for $-|\delta|^2 d^2/ds^2 + Q_\delta(s)$ with periodic boundary conditions on $[0, 1]$ is either finite or a Cantor set, depending on whether a finite or an infinite number of “gaps” in the spectrum are open (see [GT]).

While part b) is the most one can say for pairs of isospectral potentials of the form $q(x) = q_1(x_1) + q_2(x_2)$, i.e. for potentials of that form b) implies that q and $\tilde{q}$ are isospectral on R , this is not the end of the story. Since for our class of potentials, the reduced potentials $q_1(x_1)$ and $q_2(x_2)$ also satisfy the one-dimensional

version of the symmetry conditions, it turns their Dirichlet spectra on $[0, a]$ and $[0, b]$ determine their periodic spectra on $[-a, a]$ and $[-b, b]$ respectively. Thus, like the directional potentials in the oblique directions, the set of isospectral potentials in the coordinate directions is discrete. In other words *within our class of potentials* q the set of isospectral potentials for the Dirichlet condition on a rectangle is discrete.

Theorem 1.2. If a^2/b^2 is irrational, then any continuous curve q_t of isospectral potentials such that the extensions Q_t are real analytic for all t must be constant, $q_t = q_0$ for all t .

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is given in §2 - §4. It is an extension of the proof of the analogous result, [ERT1, Theorems 6.1 and 6.2], for periodic boundary conditions. The new ingredients in the proof are in the analysis of the trace of the fundamental solution of the wave equation in R with the potential q . The Dirichlet trace is significantly different from the trace for periodic boundary conditions, but the new terms in the Dirichlet trace “telescope” in a way which simplifies their contribution to the singularities of the trace. The resulting formulas reveal close relations between the Dirichlet and periodic traces, and they also make it possible to compute the singularities in the trace in a way that identifies contributions with the underlying geometry. For this we found it simpler to use the classical Hadamard construction of parametrices for the wave equation instead of Fourier integral operators. Given the lemma that isospectral potentials in the coordinate directions form a discrete set, Theorem 1.2 is an immediate corollary of Theorem 1.1. The lemma is proven in §5 (Lemma 5.3).

In [ERT2] we showed that, under the assumptions in Theorem 1.1, further analysis of the heat trace associated with this problem reduced the set of isospectral potentials for $-\Delta + q$ with periodic boundary conditions to just $q(x)$ and $q(-x)$ for many choices of q . We plan to investigate the applicability of that analysis to the Dirichlet problem in future work.

The following result does not require the analyticity of the extended potential Q or the irrationality of a^2/b^2 :

Theorem 1.3. Suppose that q and $\tilde{q}$ have the same Dirichlet spectrum on R , and have extensions Q and $\tilde{Q}$ in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Then, if $q(x) = q_1(x_1) + q_2(x_2)$, there are smooth potentials $\tilde{q}_1$ and $\tilde{q}_2$ such that $\tilde{q}(x) = \tilde{q}_1(x_1) + \tilde{q}_2(x_2)$.

The proof of Theorem 1.3 follows the outline of the proof of the corresponding result, Theorem 4.1, in [ERT1]. The authors and E. Trubowitz proved a version of Theorem 1.3 in 1981, using the approximate eigenfunction construction in [ERT1, Section 3(b)], and we still plan to return to approximate eigenfunctions in future work.

As we said earlier, the generalization of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 to rectangular domains in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with arbitrary assignment of Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions on their faces is given in §5. The constructions and arguments are sufficiently analogous to the two dimensional Dirichlet case that they can be presently rather concisely. Section 6 is devoted to the expansion of the singularities of the wave trace at $t = |d|$, $d \in L \setminus 0$. This is used for the proof of Theorem 1.3 and its generalization to higher dimensions, Theorem 6.1.

In §7 we use the Hadamard expansion of the fundamental solution to expand the wave trace near $t = 0$ in distributions of increasing orders of homogeneity. This expansion can be used to derive asymptotics for the heat trace, $\sum \exp(-\mu_j t)$, as

$t \rightarrow 0$. While there is a huge literature on the asymptotics of heat traces, the relatively simple special case we treat here appears to be new. The expansions reflect the singularities in the rectangular geometry, and contain terms which are absent for smooth boundaries. For instance, in dimension two with the Dirichlet condition $\int_R q^2 dx$ no longer appears as a spectral invariant, being replaced by $\int_R q^2 dx - (\pi/2) \sum q(P_i)$, where P_i , $i = 1, \dots, 4$, are the corners of the rectangle.

There is an extensive literature on multi-dimensional inverse spectral problems, and we have included a sampling in the references. In the setting of inverse spectral problems for $-\Delta + q$ on given domains, the literature includes Guillemin-Kazdan [GuK], Guillemin [Gu1] and [Gu2], Gordon-Kappeler [GK] and, recently, Gordon-Schüth [GS].

§2. Fundamental Solutions, Traces and Cancellations

In this section it suffices to assume that q extends to a sufficiently smooth $Q \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Let $E(t, x, y)$ be the fundamental solution for the initial value problem

$$u_{tt} = \Delta u - Qu \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_t \times \mathbb{R}_x^2, \quad u(0, x) = f(x), \quad u_t(0, x) = 0. \quad (1)$$

It follows from the properties of the wave front sets of solutions of the wave equation (see, for example, [Hö1]) that $E(t, x, y)$ is an even distribution in t on $\mathbb{R}$, depending smoothly on x and y . Here and elsewhere we follow the convention of writing distributions as functions, because we think it makes the manipulations easy to follow.

Using the lattice L from Section 1, the fundamental solution for (1) in R with Dirichlet boundary conditions on ∂R can be written

$$D(t, x, y) =$$

$$\sum_{d \in L} [E(t, d+x, y) - E(t, d_1-x_1, d_2+x_2, y) - E(t, d_1+x_1, d_2-x_2, y) + E(t, d-x, y)]. \quad (2)$$

This construction is just the “Method of Reflection”. To check it, note first that for $(x, y) \in R \times R$ the sum is finite by finite speed of propagation for the wave equation. If $x_1 = 0$ or $x_2 = 0$ each term in the sum vanishes. If $x_1 = a$, then $\pm E(t, 2ma + a, 2nb \pm x_2, y)$ cancels $\mp E(t, 2(m+1)a - a, 2nb \pm x_2, y)$, and the analogous cancellations occur for $x_2 = b$. Since $E(0, x, y) = \delta(x - y)$, all terms in the sum with $d \neq 0$ vanish for (x, y) in the interior of $R \times R$, and we have $D(0, x, y) = \delta(x - y)$ and $\partial_t D(0, x, y) = 0$. The symmetries of Q imply that all terms $E(t, d_1 \pm x_1, d_2 \pm x_2, y)$ are solutions of $u_{tt} - \Delta_x u + qu = 0$ for $x \in R$.

Note that $D(t, x, y)$ like $E(t, x, y)$ is a distribution in t on $\mathbb{R}$ depending smoothly on x and y , since each of the terms in (2) has this property, and only finitely many of these terms are nonzero when t lies in a bounded interval. Therefore the trace $\text{Tr}(D(t)) = \int_R D(t, x, x) dx$ exists as a distribution in t on $\mathbb{R}$. Note that $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} D(t, x, y) \rho(t) dt, \rho \in C_0^\infty$, is the kernel of a trace class operator on $L^2(R)$. Therefore we have the identity

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum [\hat{\rho}(\sqrt{\mu_n}) + \hat{\rho}(-\sqrt{\mu_n})] = \int_R \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} D(t, x, x) \rho(t) dt \right) dx$$

or more concisely in the sense of distributions on $\mathbb{R}$

$$\sum \cos(\sqrt{\mu_n}t) = \int_R D(t, x, x)dx = \text{Tr } D(t). \quad (3)$$

To exploit some symmetries it is convenient to use the rectangle

$$R_0 = \{(x_1, x_2) : |x_1| \leq a, |x_2| \leq b\}.$$

The symmetries of $E(t, x, y)$ corresponding to the symmetries of $Q(x)$ imply that

$$4\text{Tr}(D(t)) = \int_{R_0} D(t, x, x)dx. \quad (4)$$

From (3) and (4) one sees that the spectrum of H on R determines $\int_{R_0} D(t, x, x)dx$. Expanding this using (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{R_0} D(t, x, x)dx &= \sum_{d \in L} \left[\int_{R_0} E(t, d+x, x)dx - \int_{R_0} E(t, d_1-x_1, d_2+x_2, x)dx - \right. \\ &\quad \left. \int_{R_0} E(t, d_1+x_1, d_2-x_2, x)dx + \int_{R_0} E(t, d-x, x)dx \right] =_{\text{def}} e^{++}(t) - e^{-+}(t) - e^{+-}(t) + e^{--}(t). \end{aligned}$$

There are cancellations in $e^{-+}(t)$, $e^{+-}(t)$ and $e^{--}(t)$ which reduce them to sums of fewer terms. We will derive this reduction for $e^{--}(t)$; the reductions for $e^{+-}(t)$ and $e^{-+}(t)$ are essentially corollaries of that case.

Since the periodicity of Q implies $E(t, d+x, d+y) = E(t, x, y)$ for all $d \in L$, substituting $x_1 + 2a$ for x_1 gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^\infty E(t, d-x, x)dx_1 &= \\ \int_{-a}^\infty E(t, d-(2a, 0)-x, (2a, 0)+x)dx_1 &= \int_{-a}^\infty E(t, d-(4a, 0)-x, x)dx_1. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Likewise

$$\int_{-\infty}^{-a} E(t, d-x, x)dx_1 = \int_{-\infty}^a E(t, d+(4a, 0)-x, x)dx_1. \quad (6)$$

Since $E(t, x, y) = 0$ when $|x-y| > |t|$,

$$\int_{-b}^b \int_{-a}^\infty E(t, (-4ma, d_2)-x, x)dx = 0, \quad (7)$$

when $|(-4ma, d_2)-2x| > |t|$ for $|x_2| \leq b$ and $x_1 \geq -a$. Thus, for any R there is an $M(R)$ such that (7) holds for $m > M(R)$ when $|t| < R$. Thus, writing

$$\int_{-a}^a E(t, d-x, x)dx_1 = \int_{-a}^\infty E(t, d-x, x)dx_1 - \int_a^\infty E(t, d-x, x)dx_1,$$

(5) yields

$$\int_{-a}^a E(t, d-x, x) dx_1 = \int_{-a}^{\infty} E(t, d-x, x) dx_1 - \int_{-a}^{\infty} E(t, d-(4a, 0)-x, x) dx_1,$$

and we have the following telescoping sum formula

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \int_{-b}^b \int_{-a}^a E(t, (-2ma, d_2) - x, x) dx = \\ & \int_{-b}^b \int_{-a}^{\infty} E(t, (-2a, d_2) - x, x) dx + \int_{-b}^b \int_{-a}^{\infty} E(t, (-4a, d_2) - x, x) dx \\ & = \int_{-b}^b \int_0^{\infty} E(t, (a, d_2) - x, (a, 0) + x) dx + \int_{-b}^b \int_a^{\infty} E(t, (0, d_2) - x, x) dx, \quad (8) \end{aligned}$$

where to get the last equality we replace x_1 by $-a+x_1$ in the first integral and x_1 by $-2a+x_1$ in the second integral and use the periodicity $E(t, (2a, 0)-x, (2a, 0)+x) = E(t, -x, x)$.

If one begins with

$$\int_{-a}^a E(t, d-x, x) dx_1 = \int_{-\infty}^a E(t, d-x, x) dx_1 - \int_{-\infty}^{-a} E(t, d-x, x) dx_1,$$

and uses (6), the same reasoning leads to

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \int_{-b}^b \int_{-a}^a E(t, (2ma, d_2) - x, x) dx = \\ & \int_{-b}^b \int_{-\infty}^a E(t, (2a, d_2) - x, x) dx + \int_{-b}^b \int_{-\infty}^a E(t, (4a, d_2) - x, x) dx \\ & = \int_{-b}^b \int_{-\infty}^0 E(t, (a, d_2) - x, (a, 0) + x) dx + \int_{-b}^b \int_{-\infty}^{-a} E(t, (0, d_2) - x, x) dx, \quad (9) \end{aligned}$$

where to get the last equality we change x_1 to $a+x_1$ in the first integral, change x_1 to x_1+2a in the second integral and use the periodicity in the second integral.

Combining (8) and (9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-b}^b \int_{-a}^a E(t, (2ma, d_2) - x, x) dx \\ & = \int_{-b}^b \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E(t, (0, d_2) - x, x) dx + \int_{-b}^b \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E(t, (a, d_2) - x, (a, 0) + x) dx \quad (10) \end{aligned}$$

Now we can apply the same reasoning in the second variable. That gives

$$e^{--}(t) =_{\text{def}} \sum_{d \in L} \int_{R_0} E(t, d-x, x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} E(t, -x, x) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} E(t, (0, b)-x, (0, b)+x) dx$$

$$+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} E(t, (a, 0) - x, (a, 0) + x) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} E(t, (a, b) - x, (a, b) + x) dx. \quad (11)$$

For $e^{-+}(t)$ and $e^{+-}(t)$ there are only cancellations in the sums in the first and second components of the lattice vectors respectively, resulting in the formulas

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-+}(t) &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\int_{-b}^b E(t, -x_1, 2nb + x_2, x) dx_2 \right) dx_1 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\int_{-b}^b E(t, a - x_1, 2nb + x_2, a + x_1, x_2) dx_2 \right) dx_1 \right], \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} e^{+-}(t) &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\int_{-a}^a E(t, 2na + x_1, -x_2, x) dx_1 \right) dx_2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\int_{-a}^a E(t, 2na + x_1, b - x_2, x_1, b + x_2) dx_1 \right) dx_2 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Since $E(t, x, y) = 0$ when $|x - y| > t$ the integrals over $\mathbb{R}^1$ and $\mathbb{R}^2$ in (11), (12), (13) can be written with finite limits of integration. For example

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{-b}^b \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E(t, a - x, 2nb + x_2, a + x_1, x_2) dx_1 dx_2 \\ &= \int_{-b}^b \int_{4x_1^2 \leq t^2 - 4n^2 b^2} E(t, a - x_1, 2nb + x_2, a + x_1, x_2) dx_1 dx_2 \text{ and} \\ &\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} E(t, (a, b) - x, (a, b) + x) dx = \int_{4|x|^2 \leq t^2} E(t, (a, b) - x, (a, b) + x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Analogous formulas hold for other terms in (11), (12), (13). Moreover, for each term in $Tr(D(t))$ there is a t_c such that domain of dependence considerations, i.e. $E(t, x, y) = 0$ for $|t| > |x - y|$, imply that the term vanishes for $|t| < t_c$. In the next section we will see that these terms are real-analytic in t when $|t| > t_c$.

We can rewrite $Tr(D(t))$ in a form which has a geometric interpretation. We will write

$$Tr D(t) = \int_{R_0} D(t, x, x) dx = \sum_{m,n} D_{mn}(t),$$

where $D_{mn}(t)$ will correspond to the lattice point $(2ma, 2nb) \in L$ and have $t_c = 2\sqrt{m^2 a^2 + n^2 b^2}$. When $mn \neq 0$, $D_{mn}(t)$ is simply the following term from e^{++}

$$D_{mn}(t) = \int_{R_0} E(t, x + (2ma, 2nb), x) dx,$$

Note that these terms appear in the wave trace in the case of periodic boundary conditions in R_0 .

When $n = 0$, but $m \neq 0$, we set

$$D_{m0}(t) = \int_{R_0} E(t, x + (2ma, 0), x) dx$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \int_{-a}^a \int_{4|x_2|^2 \leq t^2 - 4m^2 a^2} E(t, x_1 + 2ma, -x_2, x_1, x_2) dx_1 dx_2 \\
& - \int_{-a}^a \int_{4|x_2|^2 \leq t^2 - 4m^2 a^2} E(t, x_1 + 2ma, b - x_2, x_1, b + x_2) dx_1 dx_2.
\end{aligned}$$

As $t \downarrow t_c$, the domain of integration in the second and third integrals in $D_{m0}(t)$ shrinks to $\{|x_1| \leq a, x_2 = 0\}$. Since these limiting cases correspond to the integration of $E(t, x, y)$ over $\{x = y + (2ma, 0), |y_1| \leq a, y_2 = 0\}$ and $\{x = y + (2ma, 0), |y_1| \leq a, y_2 = b\}$ respectively, we will associate the second integral with the side $\{0 < x_1 < a, x_2 = 0\}$ of the original rectangle R , and the third with the side $\{0 < x_1 < a, x_2 = b\}$. The first integral is paired with the interior of R .

When $m = 0$, but $n \neq 0$, we set

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{0n}(t) &= \int_{R_0} E(t, x + (2nb, 0), x) dx \\
& - \int_{-b}^b \int_{4x_1^2 \leq t^2 - 4n^2 b^2} E(t, -x_1, x_2 + 2nb, x_1, x_2) dx_1 dx_2 \\
& - \int_{-b}^b \int_{4x_1^2 \leq t^2 - 4n^2 b^2} E(t, a - x_1, x_2 + 2nb, a + x_1, x_2) dx_1 dx_2,
\end{aligned}$$

and we pair the first in integral with the interior of R , the second integral with the side $\{0 < x_2 < b, x_2 = 0\}$ and the third with the side $\{0 < x_2 < b, x_1 = a\}$

Finally, when $m = n = 0$ the term D_{00} consists of the remaining terms in $Tr(D(t))$: the integral $\int_{R_0} E(t, x, x) dx$ from e^{++} paired with the interior R , four integrals from e^{+-} and e^{-+} with $m = 0$ and $n = 0$ respectively, paired with the sides of R , and the four integrals from e^{--} in (11) which we pair with vertices $(0,0)$, $(a,0)$, $(0,b)$ and (a,b) of R . In this way we set up a one-to-one correspondence between the terms in $D_{mn}(t)$ and the k -simplices in R and ∂R corresponding to the zero components of (m, n) , with $k = 2, k = 2, 1$ or $k = 2, 1, 0$ depending on the number of zero components. This correspondence generalizes to higher dimensions (see Section 5).

§3. Consequences of analyticity

In this section we draw conclusions from the analyticity of the terms in the sums $e^{++}(t)$, $e^{+-}(t)$, $e^{-+}(t)$ and $e^{--}(t)$. In [ER1] we showed that the terms $\int_{R_0} E(t, d + x, x) dx$ from $e^{++}(t)$ were real analytic for $|t| > |d|$ by appealing to results on analytic wave front sets. One can also prove the results we need on the other terms in the trace using analytic wave front sets. However, in this paper we will also use a more classical approach due to Hadamard [H] in the form presented by Hörmander in [Hö].

Let $E_+(t, x, y)$ be the forward fundamental solution for the wave equation, i.e. the solution of $(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \Delta + Q(x))E_+ = \delta_{(0,y)}$ in $\mathbb{R}_t \times \mathbb{R}_x^n$, such that $E_+ = 0$ for $t < 0$. Then E_+ can be written as

$$E_+(t, x, y) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} a_{\nu}(x, y) e_{\nu}(t, |x - y|), \quad (14)$$

where

$$e_\nu(t, |x - y|) = 2^{-2\nu-1} \pi^{(1-n)/2} \mathcal{X}_+^{\nu+(1-n)/2}(t^2 - |x - y|^2)$$

for $t > 0$, $e_\nu = 0$ for $t < 0$. For $a > -1$ the distribution $\mathcal{X}_+^a$ is defined by $\mathcal{X}_+^a(s) = (\Gamma(a+1))^{-1} s^a$ for $s > 0$ and $\mathcal{X}_+^a(s) = 0$ for $s < 0$. One defines it for all a by analytic continuation in a ; in particular, $\mathcal{X}_+^{-k} = \delta^{(k-1)}$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. The normalization of $e_\nu(t, |x - y|)$ is chosen so that $(\partial_t^2 - \Delta)e_\nu = \nu e_{\nu-1}$ for $\nu > 0$, and $e_0(t, |x - y|)$ is the forward fundamental solution when $Q = 0$. The coefficients a_ν are determined by the recursion

$$\nu a_\nu + (x - y) \cdot \partial_x a_\nu + Q a_{\nu-1} - \Delta_x a_{\nu-1} = 0 \quad (15)$$

or solving (15)

$$a_\nu(x, y) = \int_0^1 s^{\nu-1} [\Delta_x a_{\nu-1}(y + s(x - y), y) - Q(y + s(x - y)) a_{\nu-1}(y + s(x - y), y)] ds.$$

Starting with $a_0(x, y) = 1$ and using (15) repeatedly, one completes the construction of E_+ . The coefficients a_1 and a_2 are given by

$$a_1(x, y) = - \int_0^1 Q(y + s(x - y)) ds \quad (16)$$

and

$$a_2(x, y) = - \int_0^1 s(1-s) \Delta Q(y + s(x - y)) ds + \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_0^1 Q(y + s(x - y)) ds \right)^2 \quad (17)$$

For general Q the sum in (14) is a singularity expansion: if one truncates the sum, then the difference of the fundamental solution and the truncation is more regular than the last term in the truncation. However, when Q is real-analytic one sees immediately that the a_ν 's are real-analytic. Moreover, by a majorization argument one can show that the sum in (14) is convergent for t sufficiently small as a power series in the variable $(t^2 - |x - y|^2)_+$. Thus we have the following convergent expansions for E_+ near $(t^2 - |x - y|^2)_+ = 0$. For n even the function

$$A_+(t, x, y) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_\nu(x, y)(t^2 - |x - y|^2)_+^\nu}{2^{2\nu+1} \pi^{(n-1)/2} \Gamma(\nu + (3-n)/2)}$$

is real-analytic, and we can write

$$E_+(t, x, y) = A_+(t, x, y)(t^2 - |x - y|^2)_+^{(1-n)/2}, \quad (18)$$

where $(t^2 - |x - y|^2)_+^{(1-n)/2}$ is interpreted in distribution sense as above. When n is odd, one keeps the first $(n-1)/2$ terms in (14) and combines the remaining terms as in (18). Let $E_-(t, x, y) = -E_+(-t, x, y)$ and let $E_0(t, x, y)$ be the odd extension of $E_+(t, x, y)$, i.e. $E_0(t, x, y) = E_+(t, x, y) - E_-(t, x, y)$. Note that $E_0(t, x, y)$ is the solution of $(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \Delta + Q)u = 0$ in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying the initial conditions $E_0(0, x, y) = 0$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} E_0(0, x, y) = \delta(x - y)$. Therefore $E(t, x, y) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} E_0(t, x, y)$ is the fundamental solution for the initial value problem in (2).

Using (18) one can give convergent expansions of the trace components $D_{mn}(t)$ near their singularities. Consider the expression (11). Assuming $t > 0$ and making change of variables $x = ty$ in each integral in (11), we obtain

$$e^{--}(t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(t \int_{|y| < 1/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - 4|y|^2}} [A_+(t, -ty, ty) + A_+(t, (0, b) - ty, (0, b) + ty) + \right. \\ \left. + A_+(t, (a, 0) - ty, (a, 0) + ty) + A_+(t, (a, b) - ty, (a, b) + ty) \right] dy$$

for $0 < t < \epsilon$. This gives as power series expansion for $e^{--}(t)$ for $t > 0$.

The same reasoning with the substitution $x_1 = (t^2 - 4n^2b^2)^{1/2}y_1$ yields an expansion for the n -th term in the summation for $e^{-+}(t)$ in (12) for $t > |2nb|$, and with the substitution for $x_2 = (t^2 - 4n^2a^2)^{1/2}y_2$ it yields an expansion for the n -th term in the summation for $e^{+-}(t)$ in (13) for $t > |2na|$. Since solutions of the wave equation propagate at unit speed, these terms are zero for $0 \leq t < |2nb|$ and $0 \leq t < |2na|$ respectively. Finally, using (18) without substitution, one gets an expansion for $\int_{R_0} E(t, d + x, x) dx$ is real-analytic for $t > |d|$.

Using $d = (2ma, 2nb)$, these arguments show that $D_{mn}(t)$ is equal to zero when $|t| < |d|$, and that it is real-analytic for $|d| < |t| < |d| + \epsilon$. However, we need to know that $D_{mn}(t)$ is real analytic on the half lines where $|t| > |d|$. For this we will use well-known results on analytic wave front sets. In particular, the following wave front calculations are based on Theorems 3.10, 5.1 and 7.1 of [Hö2].

Using the coordinates $(t, x, y, \tau, \xi, \eta)$ on the cotangent bundle, the analytic wave front set of E satisfies

$$WF_A(E) \subset \{x = y + t\xi/|\xi|, \tau^2 = |\xi|^2, \eta = -\xi\}.$$

Since the normal bundle to $\{(t, x + d, x)\}$ does not intersect $WF_A(E)$, $E(t, x, x + d)$ is well-defined as a distribution and $WF_A(E(t, x, x + d)) \subset \{t^2 = |d|^2\}$. Thus the contribution to $D_{mn}(t)$ from $e^{++}(t)$ is real analytic for $|t| \neq |d|$.

For the terms coming from $e^{+-}(t)$ we have to consider restrictions of $E(t, x, y)$ to $\{(t, -x_1, x_2 + 2nb, x_1, x_2)\}$ and $\{(t, a - x_1, x_2 + 2nb, a + x_1, x_2)\}$. Here again the normal bundles do not intersect $WF_A(E)$. Thus the restrictions $E(t, -x_1, x_2 + 2nb, x_1, x_2)$ and $E(t, a - x_1, x_2 + 2nb, a + x_1, x_2)$ are well-defined with analytic wave front sets contained in

$$\{(\tau, \xi_1, \xi_2) = (t, x, \tau', -\xi'_1 + \eta'_1, \xi'_2 + \eta'_2), \tau', \xi', \eta' \in WF_A(E)|_{(t, x, y)}\}.$$

Since the normal bundle to a line parallel to the x_1 -axis does not intersect this set when $t^2 \neq 4n^2b^2$, we conclude that the analytic wave front sets of

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} E(t, -s, x_2, s, x_2 + 2nb) ds \text{ and } \int_{\mathbb{R}} E(t, a - s, x_2, a + s, x_2 + 2nb) ds$$

are contained in $t^2 = 4n^2b^2$. Hence, for $n \neq 0$, $D_{0n}(t)$ is analytic for $|t| \neq |d|$. Analogous arguments apply to the contributions from $e^{-+}(t)$ and $e^{--}(t)$, and this leads to the desired results for $D_{m0}(t)$ and $D_{00}(t)$.

Now we can use the analyticity results from the preceding paragraphs as in [ERT1] to find additional spectral invariants. We use the irrationality of a^2/b^2 to

conclude that $|d'| = |d|$ for $d, d' \in L$ implies that $d' = (\pm d_1, \pm d_2)$. We also assume for definiteness that $a < b$. Now we can recover individual terms $D_{mn}(t)$ in $\text{Tr } D(t)$ as follows.

All terms in $\text{Tr } D(t)$ except $D_{00}(t)$ are zero for $0 < t < 2a$ by domain of dependence. So from $D(t)$ with t near zero we recover D_{00} , and $\text{Tr } D(t)$ minus $D_{00}(t)$ is known for $t > 0$ by analyticity. Continuing to reason in this way, new terms appear in the expansion of $\text{Tr } D(t)$ at $t = |d|$ for each value of $|d|$. Since these terms are analytic for $t > |d|$, they are determined by the spectrum for all $t > |d|$. When both components of d are nonzero, the only terms come from $e^{++}(t)$, and we conclude that for $d_1 d_2 \neq 0$

$$\sum_{\pm} \int_{R_0} E(t, \pm d_1 + x_1, \pm d_2 + x_2, x) dx$$

is a spectral invariant. However, the symmetry $Q(-x_1, x_2) = Q(x_1, x_2)$ implies $E(t, -x_1, x_2, -y_1, y_2) = E(t, x, y)$. Thus

$$\int_{R_0} E(t, -d_1 + x_1, \pm d_2 + x_2, x) dx = \int_{R_0} E(t, d_1 - x_1, \pm d_2 + x_2, -x_1, x_2) dx,$$

and making the change of variables $x_1 \rightarrow -x_1$, we have

$$\int_{R_0} E(t, -d_1 + x_1, \pm d_2 + x_2, x) dx = \int_{R_0} E(t, d_1 + x_1, \pm d_2 + x_2, x) dx.$$

Since we also have the symmetry $Q(x_1, -x_2) = Q(x_1, x_2)$, the same argument applies in the variable x_2 . Thus the contribution from $e^{++}(t)$ simplifies to

$$4D_{mn}(t) = 4 \int_{R_0} E(t, d + x, x) dx. \quad (19)$$

When $d = (d_1, 0)$, $d_1 \neq 0$, or $d = (0, d_2)$, $d_2 \neq 0$, we get additional contributions from e^{+-} and e^{-+} respectively. This gives the spectral invariants

$$\begin{aligned} D_{m0}(t) = & \int_{R_0} E(t, d_1 + x_1, x_2, x) dx_1 dx_2 - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\int_{-a}^a E(t, d_1 + x_1, -x_2, x) dx_1 \right) dx_2 \\ & - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\int_{-a}^a E(t, d_1 + x_1, b - x_2, x_1, b + x_2) dx_1 \right) dx_2 \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

for $d_1 = 2ma \neq 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned} D_{0n}(t) = & \int_{R_0} E(t, x_1, d_2 + x_2, x) dx_1 dx_2 - \int_{-b}^b \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E(t, -x_1, d_2 + x_2, x) dx_1 \right) dx_2 \\ & - \int_{-b}^b \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E(t, a - x_1, d_2 + x_2, a + x, x_2) dx_1 \right) dx_2 \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

for $d_2 = 2nb \neq 0$. In (20) and (21) we again made use of the observation that changing d_i to $-d_i$ does not change $D_{mn}(t)$.

§4. Heat Traces

Let $D_{mn}(t)$ be the wave trace spectral invariant from (19). Then

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-s^2/4t} D_{mn}(s) ds = \int_{R_0} G(t, d+x, x) dx,$$

where $G(t, x, y)$ is the fundamental solution for the initial value problem

$$u_t = \Delta u - Qu \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^2, \quad u(0, x) = f(x).$$

Theorem 5.1 in [ERT1] gives the asymptotic behavior of $G(t, x + Nd + e, y)$ for $d, e \in L$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. To describe this we need the “reduced potentials” $q_d(x) = \int_0^1 Q(x + sd) ds$ and the associated fundamental solutions $G_d(t, x, y)$ for the initial value problems

$$u_t = \Delta u - q_d u \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^2, \quad u(0, x) = f(x).$$

Then

$$|G(t, x, y) - G_d(t, x, y)| \leq \frac{C}{4\pi t} e^{-|x-y|^2/4t} \frac{t}{|d \cdot (x-y)|} \left(1 + \frac{|x' - y'|}{t}\right), \quad (22)$$

where $x' - y'$ denotes the component of $x - y$ perpendicular to d and C is uniform in x and y on bounded intervals $t \in [0, T]$. In [ERT1] the estimate (22) is only stated for specific choices of x and y , but the estimate for general x and y is proven.

The structure of G_d makes (22) useful. Since $Q(x + e) = Q(x)$ for $e \in L$, q_d inherits this property, and in addition q_d is constant in the direction d , $q_d(x + sd) = q_d(x)$ for $s \in \mathbb{R}$. It follows that G_d is the product of a free heat kernel and a heat kernel determined by q_d , i.e.

$$G_d(t, x, y) = \frac{\exp[-(d \cdot (x - y))^2/4|d|^2 t]}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} H_d(t, x, y), \quad (23)$$

where $H_d(t, x + sd, y + rd) = H_d(t, x, y)$. Letting z denote signed distance from the origin on the line $d \cdot x = 0$, $H_d(t, z, w)$ is the fundamental solution for the initial value problem for $u_t = u_{zz} - q_d(z)u$. Letting $y = Nd + e + x$ in (22) and letting N go to infinity, it follows that for $e, d \in L$ with $d_1 d_2 \neq 0$ $\int_{R_0} G(t, x + Nd + e, x) dx$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, determines $\int_{R_0} G_d(x + e, x) dx$ for any $d \in L \setminus 0$ and $e \in L$. Hence the invariants in (19) determine

$$\int_{R_0} G_d(t, e + x, x) dx \quad (24)$$

for each $e \in L$ and $d \in L$ with $d_1 d_2 \neq 0$, and the Dirichlet spectrum for $-\Delta + q_d(x)$ on R determines

$$\sum_{e \in L} \int_{R_0} G_d(t, e + x, x) dx. \quad (25)$$

This sum is the heat trace, $\sum \exp(-\lambda_n t)$, for $-\Delta + q_d(x)$ on R_0 with the periodic boundary condition, $u(x + e) = u(x)$, $e \in L$. Thus the Dirichlet spectrum for

$-\Delta + q(x)$ on R determines the periodic spectrum for $-\Delta + q_d(x)$ on R_0 for any $d \in L$ with $d_1 d_2 \neq 0$.

If we choose $\delta \in L^*$ such that $\delta \cdot d = 0$ and $\{\delta \cdot e, e \in L\} = \mathbb{Z}$, then we can write the Fourier expansion of q_d in the form

$$q_d(x) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} a_k e^{2\pi i k \delta \cdot x}, \text{ i.e. } q_d(x) = v(\delta \cdot x) \text{ where } v(s) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} a_k e^{2\pi i k s}.$$

In §3(a) of [ERT] we showed that the periodic spectrum for $-\Delta + q_d(x)$ on R_0 determines the periodic spectrum for $-|\delta|^2 d^2/ds^2 + v(s)$ on $[0, 1]$ – and vice versa. Note further that $Q(x) = Q(-x)$ and $Q(x+d) = Q(x)$, $d \in L$, imply that $q_d(x) = q_d(-x)$. Hence $a_k = a_{-k}$ and $v(s) = v(-s)$. The set of even potentials on $[0, 1]$ with the same periodic spectrum is either finite or a Cantor set (see [GT]). Thus, if $-\Delta + q$ and $-\Delta + \tilde{q}$ have the same Dirichlet spectrum on R , for $d_1 d_2 \neq 0$ the reduced potential $\tilde{q}_d$ must belong to the Cantor set determined by q_d .

The derivation of (25) applies equally well for $d = (d_1, 0)$, $d_1 \neq 0$, provided that $e_2 \neq 0$, but in the remaining case, $e_2 = 0$, we need to use the invariants from (20). The same remark applies to $d = (0, d_2)$, $d_2 \neq 0$ with the invariants from (20) replaced by those in (21). We will only give the argument for $d = (d_1, 0)$. The case $d = (0, d_2)$ is completely symmetric.

When $d = (d_1, 0)$, $q_d(x) = q_2(x_2)$ and G_d is given by

$$G_d(t, x, y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} e^{-(x_1 - y_1)^2/4t} H(t, x_2, y_2),$$

where H is the fundamental solution for the initial value problem

$$u_t = u_{x_2 x_2} - q_2(x_2)u, \quad u(0, x_2) = f(x_2).$$

As in (19) the Dirichlet spectrum for $-\Delta + q$ on R determines $\int_{R_0} G_d(t, (0, e_2) + x, x) dx$ for $e_2 \neq 0$, and hence it determines $\int_{-b}^b H(t, x_2 + e_2, x_2) dx_2$ for $e_2 \neq 0$. Applying the asymptotic argument that lead to (25) to (20) with $e = 0$, we conclude that the Dirichlet spectrum on R also determines

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{R_0} G_d(t, x, x) dx - \int_{-a}^a \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G_d(t, x_1, -x_2, x_1, x_2) dx_2 \right) dx_1 \\ & - \int_{-a}^a \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G_d(t, x_1, b - x_2, x_1, b + x_2) dx_2 \right) dx_1, \end{aligned}$$

and hence it determines

$$\int_{-b}^b H(t, x_2, x_2) dx_2 - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H(t, -x_2, x_2) dx_2 - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H(t, b - x_2, b + x_2) dx_2.$$

Combining these two results, the Dirichlet spectrum on R determines

$$\left[\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-b}^b H(t, 2nb + x_2, x_2) dx_2 \right]$$

$$-\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H(t, -x_2, x_2) dx_2 - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H(t, b - x_2, b + x_2) dx_2. \quad (26)$$

The arguments of Section 2 applied to the Dirichlet problem on $[0, b]$ show that (26) is the heat trace for the Dirichlet problem for $-(d/dx_2)^2 + q_2(x_2)$ on $[0, b]$. In other words the Dirichlet spectrum for $-\Delta + q$ on R determines the Dirichlet spectrum for $-(d/dx_2)^2 + q_2(x_2)$ on $[0, b]$ when $d = (d_1, 0)$. Analogously, the Dirichlet spectrum for $-\Delta + q$ on R determines the Dirichlet spectrum for $-(d/dx_1)^2 + q_1(x_1)$ on $[0, a]$ when $d = (0, d_2)$.

§5. More General Boundary Conditions and Higher Dimensions

The results of the preceding sections generalize to regions $R = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : 0 \leq x_i \leq a_i, i = 0, \dots, n\}$ with either Dirichlet or Neumann boundary conditions on each face of ∂R . The arguments used earlier extend to this case, because (a) the “Method of Reflection” can be used to construct fundamental solutions, and (b) essential features of these fundamental solutions remain unchanged in the more general setting. We explain these points in what follows.

In one space dimension one can check directly that the fundamental solutions for $u_{tt} = u_{xx} - q(x)u$, $u(0, x) = f(x)$, $u_t(0, x) = 0$, on the interval $[0, a]$ with Dirichlet or Neumann conditions on $x = 0$ and $x = a$ can be expressed in terms of the fundamental solution, $E(t, x, y)$, for the initial value problem $u_{tt} = u_{xx} - Q(x)u$, $u(0, x) = f(x)$, $u_t(0, x) = 0$, on the line, where Q is the extension of q to $\mathbb{R}$ as before, $Q(x) = q(-x)$ for $-a \leq x \leq 0$ and $Q(x + 2na) = Q(x)$. The formulas are as follows:

for the boundary conditions $u(t, 0) = u(t, a) = 0$ the fundamental solution is

$$E_{DD}(t, x, y) = [T_{DD}E](t, x, y) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} [E(t, 2ma + x, y) - E(t, 2ma - x, y)] \quad (27)$$

for the boundary conditions $u(t, 0) = u_x(t, a) = 0$ the fundamental solution is

$$E_{DN}(t, x, y) = [T_{DN}E](t, x, y) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^m [E(t, 2ma + x, y) - E(t, 2ma - x, y)] \quad (28)$$

for the boundary conditions $u_x(t, 0) = u(t, a) = 0$ the fundamental solution is

$$E_{ND}(t, x, y) = [T_{ND}E](t, x, y) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^m [E(t, 2ma + x, y) + E(t, 2ma - x, y)] \quad (29)$$

for the boundary conditions $u_x(t, 0) = u_x(t, a) = 0$ the fundamental solution is

$$E_{NN}(t, x, y) = [T_{NN}E](t, x, y) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} [E(t, 2ma + x, y) + E(t, 2ma - x, y)] \quad (30)$$

These formulas can be checked as in §2. Note, for example, that in (23) we take an odd extension from $[0, a]$ to $[-a, a]$ to satisfy the Dirichlet boundary condition at $x = 0$ and then we take an antiperiodic extension from $[-a, a]$ to $(-\infty, +\infty)$ to satisfy the Neumann boundary condition at $x = a$.

The linear operators $T_{\alpha\beta}$ make it possible to express the fundamental solutions for boundary value problems in the region R above compactly. If we let $T_{\alpha\beta}^i$ denote $T_{\alpha\beta}$ acting on the variable x_i , then the fundamental solution for $u_{tt} = \Delta u - qu$, $u(0, x) = f(x)$, $u_t(0, x) = 0$ in R with Dirichlet or Neumann conditions on $x_i = 0$ and $x_i = a_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, is given by

$$E_{\alpha\beta}(t, x, y) = [T_{\alpha_1\beta_1}^1 T_{\alpha_2\beta_2}^2 \cdots T_{\alpha_n\beta_n}^n E](t, x, y), \quad (31)$$

where for each i , α_i and β_i are either D or N . In (31) $E(t, x, y)$ is the fundamental solution to the initial value problem $u_{tt} = \Delta u - Qu$, $u(0, x) = f(x)$, $u_t(0, x) = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $Q(\pm x_1, \pm x_2, \dots, \pm x_n) = q(x)$ for $x \in R$ and $Q(x_1 + 2m_1 a_1, \dots, x_n + 2m_n a_n) = Q(x)$. In the notation $E_{\alpha\beta}$ in (31) we think of α and β as vectors. Formula (31) reduces to (2) when $n = 2$ and one imposes the Dirichlet condition on the whole boundary.

From (31) one sees that the only terms in the expansion of $E_{\alpha\beta}(t, x, y)$ which do not have arguments of $2m_i a_i - x_i$ for some i are

$$\sum_{(m_1, \dots, m_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n} (-1)^{m_1 + \dots + m_n} E(t, 2m_1 a_1 + x_1, \dots, 2m_n a_n + x_n, y), \quad (32)$$

where $\{i_1, \dots, i_r\}$ is the set of indices i such that $\alpha_i \neq \beta_i$. This is the fundamental solution for $u_{tt} = \Delta u - Qu$ in the domain $R_0 = \{x : -a_i \leq x_i \leq a_i\}$ with anti-periodic boundary conditions in the variables x_i with $i = i_1, \dots, i_r$ and periodic boundary conditions in the remaining variables. When we pass to traces as in (4), the contribution from these terms will correspond to the wave trace for this problem. The key observation is that all other terms in the traces will “telescope” as in §2 to integrals over $\mathbb{R}$ in the variables appearing in the form $2m_i a_i - x_i$. To see this note that the contributions of these terms to the trace when $\alpha_i = \beta_i$ will be summations in the index m_i of the form

$$\cdots \sum_{m_i = -\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-a_i}^{a_i} E(t, \dots, 2m_i a_i - x_i, \dots, x) dx_i \cdots, \quad (33)$$

where the dots before and after the formula are intended to indicate summations on other indices and integrations in other variables. The reasoning which lead to (10) reduces (33) to

$$\cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [E(t, \dots, -x_i, \dots, x) + E(t, \dots, a_i - x_i, \dots, a_i + x_i, \dots)] dx_i \cdots. \quad (34)$$

When $\alpha_i \neq \beta_i$, formula (33) is replaced by

$$\cdots \sum_{m_i = -\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^{m_i} \int_{-a_i}^{a_i} E(t, \dots, 2m_i a_i - x_i, \dots, x) dx_i \cdots. \quad (35)$$

To see the effect of the factor $(-1)^{m_i}$ recall the formula from Section 2 (rephrased in the current notation)

$$\int_{-a_i}^{a_i} E(t, \dots, 2m_i a_i - x_i, \dots, x) dx_i =$$

$$\int_{-a_i}^{\infty} E(t, \dots, 2m_i a_i - x_i, \dots, x) dx_i - \int_{-a_i}^{\infty} E(t, \dots, 2(m_i - 2)a_i - x_i, \dots, x) dx_i.$$

The point here is that the cancellations arise separately between the terms corresponding to even indices m_i and those corresponding to odd indices. Hence, the terms in (35) reduce to

$$\cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [E(t, \dots, -x_i, \dots, x) - E(t, \dots, a_i - x_i, \dots, a_i + x_i, \dots)] dx_i \cdots \quad (36)$$

Now we can apply the arguments from Section 3 and Section 4 in this more general setting. Very little modification is needed. We make the assumptions:

- A) the extension Q of q to $\mathbb{R}^n$ described above is real-analytic on $\mathbb{R}^n$, and
- B) the numbers $a_1^2, a_2^2, \dots, a_n^2$ are linearly independent over the rationals.

We also introduce the rectangular lattice

$$L = \{(2m_1 a_1, 2m_2 a_2, \dots, 2m_n a_n) : (m_1, \dots, m_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n\},$$

and its fundamental domain

$$R_0 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : -a_i \leq x_i < a_i, i = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

Note that it follows from B) that $|d| = |d'|$, $d, d' \in L$ is equivalent to $d_i = \pm d'_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$.

As was done at the end of Section 2, the distribution wave trace

$$2^n \int_R E_{\alpha\beta}(t, x, x) dx = \int_{R_0} E_{\alpha\beta}(t, x, x) dx = \int_{R_0} [T_{\alpha_1 \beta_1}^1 T_{\alpha_2 \beta_2}^2 \cdots T_{\alpha_n \beta_n}^n E](t, x, x) dx$$

can be represented as a sum

$$\int_{R_0} E_{\alpha\beta}(t, x, x) dx = \sum_{d \in L} E_{\alpha\beta}^d(t), \quad (37)$$

where the term $E_{\alpha\beta}^d(t)$ corresponds to $d \in L$. As in Section 3 we see that each term $E_{\alpha\beta}^d(t)$ is real analytic when $|t| > |d|$ and equal to zero when $|t| < |d|$. Therefore $\sum_{|\tilde{d}|=|d|} E_{\alpha\beta}^{\tilde{d}}(t)$ is a spectral invariant for any $d \in L$. When d has no zero components,

$$E_{\alpha\beta}^d(t) = \int_{R_0} E(t, d + x, x) dx. \quad (38)$$

When $d_{i_1} = \dots = d_{i_m} = 0$ and d has no other zero components, the term $E_{\alpha\beta}^d(t)$ is the sum of an integral of form (38), $2m$ integrals associated with the $2m$ faces $x_{i_1} = 0, x_{i_2} = 0, \dots, x_{i_m} = 0, x_{i_1} = a_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_m} = a_{i_m}$ and integrals associated with $(n - k)$ -dimensional edges, $1 < k \leq m$. For the uniformity of notation we shall consider a face as an $(n - 1)$ -dimensional edge and each vertex of R as a 0-dimensional edge. Note that an $(n - k)$ -dimensional edge is the intersection of k faces. As in Section 2, all integrals except those of the form (38) tend to integrals over their associated edges when $|t| \downarrow |d|$.

When d has no zero components, there are the 2^n terms in (32) corresponding to d' with $|d'| = |d|$. Since the argument leading to (19) does not depend on the number of variables, each of these terms (up to a *common* factor of ± 1 determined by the boundary conditions) equals (38). Thus, the wave trace (37) determines the partial traces (38) for each $d \in L$ with no zero components.

Passing to heat traces as in Section 4, from (38) we conclude that, when $Nd + e$ has no zero components, the wave trace determines

$$\int_{R_0} G(t, Nd + e + x, x) dx.$$

Taking the limit as $N \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\int_{R_0} G_d(t, x + e, x) dx, \quad (39)$$

where G_d is the heat kernel associated with the potential q_d given by

$$q_d(x) = \int_0^1 Q(x + sd) ds.$$

When it is possible to use this argument for all $e \in L$, we conclude that the wave trace (37) determines

$$\sum_{e \in L} \int_{R_0} G_d(t, e + x, x) dx.$$

This is the trace of the fundamental solution for the heat equation on R_0 with periodic boundary conditions, i.e. $u(t, x + d) = u(t, x)$, $d \in L$, for the potential q_d . At this point results of [ERT1] can be applied. Using the notation from Theorem 1.1, the argument from pp. 668-9 of [ERT1] shows that for each $\delta \in S$ such that $\delta \cdot d = 0$ the invariants in (39) determine the periodic spectrum of $-\Delta + Q_\delta(\delta \cdot x)$. As before the periodic spectrum of $-\Delta + Q_\delta(\delta \cdot x)$ determines and is determined by the periodic spectrum of

$$-|\delta|^2 \frac{d^2}{ds^2} + Q_\delta(s)$$

on $[-1/2, 1/2]$, [ERT1, Section 3(a)]. Also, Q_δ is even ($Q_\delta(-s) = Q_\delta(s)$), and the set of even potentials with a given periodic spectrum on $[0, 1]$ is either finite or a Cantor set, [GT]. If $\delta \in S$ has at least two nonzero components, we can choose $d \in L$ with no zero components so that $\delta \cdot d = 0$. Hence for any $e \in L$ the vector $Nd + e$ will have no zero components for N sufficiently large, and we can recover the invariants in (39) for all $e \in L$. Thus for each $\delta \in S$ with at least two nonzero components, the spectrum of $-\Delta + q$ on R with the given boundary conditions determines the periodic spectrum of $-|\delta|^2 d^2/ds^2 + Q_\delta(s)$ on $[0, 1]$.

We are now left with the $\delta \in S$ with only one nonzero component, i.e. $\delta = (2a_i)^{-1} \hat{e}_i$ for some i , where $\hat{e}_1, \dots, \hat{e}_n$ is the standard basis for $\mathbb{R}^n$. In this case $\delta \cdot d = 0$ implies $d_i = 0$, and we can only recover the terms in (39) for $e \in L$ with $e_i \neq 0$. To obtain the analog of the results in §4 in this case we need to consider

the sum of terms in the wave trace (37) with $t_c = |d|$ when the i -th component of d is zero, but the other components are nonzero. This sum is given by

$$\sum_{|\tilde{d}|=|d|} (-1)^p \left[\int_{R_0} E(t, \tilde{d} + x, x) dx + \right. \\ \left. + \epsilon_1 \int_{\{|x_j| \leq a_j, j \neq i, |x_i| < \infty\}} [E(t, \tilde{d} + x - 2x_i \hat{e}_i, x) + \epsilon_2 E(t, \tilde{d} + x + (a_i - 2x_i) \hat{e}_i, x + a_i \hat{e}_i)] dx \right],$$

where p , ϵ_1 and ϵ_2 are determined by the boundary conditions and are the same for all $\tilde{d}$. In fact $\epsilon_1 = 1$ if $\alpha_i = N$ and $\epsilon_1 = -1$ if $\alpha_i = D$. Likewise $\epsilon_2 = 1$ when $\alpha_i = \beta_i$ and $\epsilon_2 = -1$ when $\alpha_i \neq \beta_i$. Once again the terms in this sum are independent of the sign of the components of $\tilde{d}$, and thus each term in the sum is the same. Passing to the heat trace, applying the preceding with $d = Nd + e$ where $d_i = e_i = 0$ and taking the limit as $N \rightarrow \infty$, we conclude that the wave trace in (32) determines

$$\int_{R_0} G_d(t, x + e, x) dx + \quad (40) \\ + \epsilon_1 \int_{\{|x_j| \leq a_j, j \neq i, |x_i| < \infty\}} [G_d(t, e + x - 2x_i \hat{e}_i, x) + \epsilon_2 G_d(t, e + x + (a_i - 2x_i) \hat{e}_i, x + a_i \hat{e}_i)] dx.$$

Now can apply a variation of the argument (from [ERT1, pp. 668-9]) used earlier. If $n > 2$, we choose a $d' \in L$ with $d'_i = 0$ but no other nonzero components such that d and d' are linearly independent. Then, taking $e = Nd' + e'$ with $e'_i \neq 0$ in (39) and letting $N \rightarrow \infty$ we recover

$$\int_{R_0} G_{dd'}(t, x + e', x) dx, \quad (41)$$

where $G_{dd'}$ corresponds to the potential $(q_d)_{d'}$. However, for e' with $e'_i = 0$ we make the same substitution in (40) and pass to the limit, getting

$$\int_{R_0} G_{dd'}(t, x + e', x) dx + \quad (42) \\ \epsilon_1 \int_{\{|x_j| \leq a_j, j \neq i, |x_i| < \infty\}} [G_{dd'}(t, e' + x - 2x_i \hat{e}_i, x) + \epsilon_2 G_{dd'}(t, e' + x + (a_i - 2x_i) \hat{e}_i, x + a_i \hat{e}_i)] dx.$$

We continue this until $((q_d)_{d'})_{d''} \dots = Q_\delta(\delta \cdot x)$ for $\delta = a_i^{-1} \hat{e}_i$. Letting G_δ denote the heat kernel associated with the potential $Q_\delta(\delta \cdot x) = Q_\delta(x_i/a_i)$, we have

$$G_\delta(t, x, y) = (4\pi t)^{-(n-1)/2} e^{-|x' - y'|^2/4t} g_i(t, x_i, y_i)$$

where x' and y' have the i -th components omitted, and g_i is the fundamental solution for the initial value problem for $u_t = d^2 u / dx_i^2 - Q_\delta(x_i/a_i)u$. Thus the invariants in (36) and (37) are equivalent to

$$\int_{-a_i}^{a_i} g_i(t, x_i + e_i, x_i) dx_i \text{ and}$$

$$\int_{-a_i}^{a_i} g_i(t, x_i, x_i) dx_i + \epsilon_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [g_i(t, -x_i, x_i) + \epsilon_2 g_i(t, a_i - x_i, a_i + x_i)] dx_i.$$

Thus, as in the argument at the end of Section 4, undoing the simplification from the telescoping terms, these invariants determine

$$2^{-1} \int_{-a_i}^{a_i} T_{\alpha_i \beta_i} g_i(t, x_i, x_i) dx_i. \quad (43)$$

Since (43) is the heat trace corresponding to the boundary condition (α_i, β_i) on $[0, a_i]$, we have the generalization of the result of Section 4:

Theorem 5.1. Suppose that q and $\tilde{q}$ are isospectral potentials on R such that the corresponding potentials Q and $\tilde{Q}$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ are real-analytic, and suppose that $\{a_1^2, \dots, a_n^2\}$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$. Then for each $\delta \in S$ with more than one nonzero component $-|\delta|^2 d^2/ds^2 + Q_\delta(s)$ and $-|\delta|^2 d^2/ds^2 + \tilde{Q}_\delta(s)$ have the same periodic spectrum on $[-1/2, 1/2]$. For $\delta = (2a_i)^{-1} \hat{e}_i$ the operators $-d^2/dx_i^2 + Q_\delta(x_i/a_i)$ and $d^2/dx_i^2 + \tilde{Q}_\delta(x_i/a_i)$ have the same spectrum for the boundary condition (α_i, β_i) on $[0, a_i]$, $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Remark 5.2. When $Q_\delta = 0$ for all $\delta \in S$ with more than one nonzero component, Theorem 5.1 gives all the constraints imposed by isospectrality: when the operators $-d^2/dx_i^2 + Q_\delta(x_i/a_i)$ and $d^2/dx_i^2 + \tilde{Q}_\delta(x_i/a_i)$ have the same spectrum for the boundary condition (α_i, β_i) on $[0, a_i]$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, q and $\tilde{q}$ are isospectral. This is not what one expects when other directional potentials are nonzero. In [ERT2] we used higher order terms in the asymptotics of $G(t, Nd+e+x, y)$ to get additional constraints on isospectral potential and establish rigidity modulo lattice isometries in some cases. We plan to carry this out for the boundary conditions considered here in the future.

As noted in the Introduction, the set of Q_δ on $[-1/2, 1/2]$ with a given periodic spectrum is necessarily discrete since the Q_δ 's are even. When one works with general L^2 potentials, this is not true for the operators $-d^2/dx_i^2 + Q_\delta(x_i/a_i)$ on $[0, a_i]$. See [PT, Chpt. 6] for (explicit!) examples of infinite dimensional manifolds of potentials with a given Dirichlet spectrum. However, when one restricts the admissible potentials to those considered here, the set of isospectral potentials for these operators is again discrete.

Lemma 5.3. Assume that the potential Q on $\mathbb{R}$ is real-analytic and satisfies $Q(x) = Q(-x)$ and $Q(x+2a) = Q(x)$. Then the spectrum of $d^2/dx^2 + \tilde{Q}(x)$ on $[0, a]$ with either Dirichlet or Neumann boundary conditions at $x = 0$ and $x = a$ determines the periodic spectrum of $d^2/dx^2 + \tilde{Q}(x)$ on $[-a, a]$.

Proof. The reasoning given earlier, specialized to $n = 1$, shows that the spectrum determines

$$\int_{-a}^a E(t, 2ma + x, x) dx, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus 0$$

and

$$\int_{-a}^a E(t, x, x) dx + \epsilon_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [E(t, -x, x) + \epsilon_2 E(t, a - x, a + x)] dx, \quad (44)$$

where ϵ_1 equals 1 or -1 according to whether Neumann or Dirichlet conditions are imposed at $x = 0$, and ϵ_2 equals 1 or -1 according to whether the boundary

conditions at $x = 0$ and $x = a$ are the same or different. Our goal is to show that as long as Q is a real-analytic potential satisfying $Q(-x) = Q(x)$ and $Q(x + 2a) = Q(x)$, the spectrum determines

$$\int_{-a}^a E(t, x, x) dx, \quad (45)$$

and hence determines the periodic spectrum.

In one dimension the Hadamard formulas become

$$E(t, x, y) = \partial_t E_0(t, x, y), \quad E_0(t, x, y) = E_+(t, x, y) - E_+(-t, x, y), \quad \text{and}$$

$$E_+(t, x, y) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} a_{\nu}(x, y) e_{\nu}(t, |x - y|), \quad \text{where } e_{\nu}(t, |x - y|) = \frac{1}{2^{2\nu+1} \Gamma(\nu + 1)} (t^2 - |x - y|^2)_+^{\nu} \text{ for } t > 0, \text{ and } E_+(t, x, y) = 0 \text{ for } t < 0.$$

Hence

$$\int_{-a}^a E(t, x, x) dx = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{2\nu+1} \Gamma(\nu + 1)} \int_{-a}^a a_{\nu}(x, x) dx [\partial_t (t^{2\nu} \operatorname{sgn}(t))].$$

We are going to show that the other terms in (44) contribute only even powers of t when one expands (44) in powers of t , and hence one can recover (45) from (44).

We have (for $t > 0$)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E(t, -x, x) dx &= \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{2\nu+1} \Gamma(\nu + 1)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} a_{\nu}(-x, x) [\partial_t (t^2 - 4x^2)_+^{\nu}] dx \\ &= \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{2\nu}{2^{2\nu+1} \Gamma(\nu + 1)} \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} a_{\nu}(ty, -ty) t^{2\nu} (1 - 4y^2)^{\nu-1} dy. \end{aligned}$$

Note that in removing the term corresponding to $\nu = 0$ in the last formula we used $a_0(x, y) = 1$. Similarly,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E(t, a-x, a+x) dx = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{2\nu}{2^{2\nu+1} \Gamma(\nu + 1)} \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} a_{\nu}(a+ty, a-ty) t^{2\nu} (1 - 4y^2)^{\nu-1} dy$$

So to eliminate odd powers of t the Maclaurin series for $a_{\nu}(-x, x)$ and $a_{\nu}(a - x, a + x)$ must contain only even powers of x . From (16)

$$a_1(bx, x) = - \int_0^1 Q((1 + (b-1)s)x) ds = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} - \int_0^1 \frac{(1 + (b-1)s)^k}{k!} Q^{(k)}(0) ds x^k$$

which contains no odd powers because $Q^{(k)}(0) = 0$ for k odd, and

$$a_1(a + bx, a + x) = - \int_0^1 Q(a + (1 + (b-1)s)x) ds$$

which also contains no odd powers because $Q^{(k)}(a) = 0$ for k odd. From the recursion relation (17) we have

$$a_\nu(x, y) = \int_0^1 s^{\nu-1} [\partial_x^2 a_{\nu-1}(y + s(x-y), y) - Q(y + s(x-y)) a_{\nu-1}(y + s(x-y), y)] ds,$$

and

$$a_\nu(bx, x) = \int_0^1 s^{\nu-1} [\partial_x^2 a_{\nu-1}((1 + (b-1)s)x, x) - Q((1 + (b-1)s)x) a_{\nu-1}((1 + (b-1)s)x, x)] ds,$$

Using the induction hypothesis: $a_\mu(bx, x)$ has an expansion in even powers of x for $\mu < \nu$, we can conclude that $a_\nu(bx, x)$ has an expansion in even powers of x (note that $\partial_x^2 a_{\nu-1}(bx, x) = x^{-2} \partial_b^2 a_{\nu-1}(bx, x)$). Likewise

$$a_\nu(a + bx, a + x) = \int_0^1 s^{\nu-1} [\partial_x^2 a_{\nu-1}(a + (1 + (b-1)s)x, a + x) - Q(a + (1 + (b-1)s)x) a_{\nu-1}(a + (1 + (b-1)s)x, a + x)] ds.$$

Hence, using the induction hypothesis: $a_\mu(a + bx, a + x)$ has an expansion in even powers of x for $\mu < \nu$, we can conclude that $a_\nu(a + bx, a + x)$ has an expansion in even powers of x . We complete the proof that the Maclaurin series for $a_\nu(-x, x)$ and $a_\nu(a - x, a + x)$ must contain only even powers of x by taking $b = -1$. Thus we have shown that the spectral invariant in (44) determines the integral in (45) which combined with the spectral invariants preceding (44) determines the periodic spectrum. $\square$

Lemma 5.2 combined with Theorem 5.1 gives the following generalization of Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 5.4. If $\{a_1^2, \dots, a_n^2\}$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$, then for any choice $\alpha\beta$ of boundary conditions on the faces of R , any continuous curve q_t of isospectral potentials such that the extensions Q_t are real analytic for all t must be constant, $q_t = q_0$ for all t .

§6. Singularities of the wave trace and applications.

In this section we drop the requirements that $Q(x)$ is real-analytic and $\{a_1^2, \dots, a_n^2\}$ is linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$, and assume only that $Q(x) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We shall study the singularities of $\text{Tr } E_{\alpha\beta}(t)$. We assume that $d \in L \setminus 0$ and find the singularities of $\text{Tr } E_{\alpha\beta}$ at $t = |d|$. The case $d = 0$ is discussed in [ER]. Using the notation of §5, the only terms of $\text{Tr } E_{\alpha\beta}$ that are singular at $t = |d|$ are

$$\sum_{|\tilde{d}|=|d|} E_{\alpha\beta}^{\tilde{d}}(t). \quad (46)$$

All other terms in $\text{Tr } E_{\alpha\beta}$ are C^∞ near $t = |d|$. We shall find the singularity expansion of (46) at $t = |d|$, and the terms of this expansion will be spectral invariants.

It follows from the Hadamard construction (see (14), (16), (17)) that the singularity expansion of

$$\int_{R_0} E(t, x + d, x) dx \quad (47)$$

at $t = |d|$ has the form

$$\begin{aligned} & \gamma_0 \int_{R_0} i dx \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \chi_+^{\frac{1-n}{2}} (t^2 - |d|^2) + \gamma_1 \int_{R_0} q(x) dx \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \chi_+^{\frac{1-n}{2}+1} (t^2 - |d|^2) \\ & + \gamma_2 \int_{R_0} q_d^2(x) dx \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \chi_+^{\frac{1-n}{2}+2} (t^2 - |d|^2) + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

where γ_i , $0 \leq i \leq 2$, are constants independent of R and $Q(x)$, and $q_d(x) = \int_0^1 Q(x + sd) ds$ is the reduced potential. In deriving (48), we noted that $Q(x)$ is periodic and smooth, and therefore $\int_{R_0} Q(x + sd) dx = \int_{R_0} Q(x) dx = 2^n \int_R q(x) dx$ and

$$\int_{R_0} \Delta Q(x + sd) dx = 0.$$

When $d \in L$ has no zero component, $E_{\alpha\beta}^d(t)$ consists only of the integral (47) (up to factor ± 1 depending on boundary conditions). Now suppose that $d_{i_1} = \dots = d_{i_m} = 0$ and d has no other zero components. Then, as in Section 5, $E_{\alpha\beta}^d(t)$ in addition to (47) contains a sum of integrals associated with edges of dimensions $n - k$, $1 \leq k \leq m$. Consider, for example, an integral $I_{c'}(t)$, associated with the edge $\Gamma_{c'} = \{x : x_{i_1} = c_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k} = c_{i_k}\}$, where the j -th component of $c' = (c_{i_1}, \dots, c_{i_k})$ is either 0 or a_{i_j} . We write $x = (x', x'')$. This, as in Section 5, is a slight abuse of notation: $x' = (x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_k})$ denotes a subset of components of x - not necessarily the first k components. Near $t = |d| = |d''|$ (up to factor ± 1)

$$I_{c'}(t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{\Gamma_{c'}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} E_+(t, c' - x', x'' + d'', c' + x', x'') dx' dx''. \quad (49)$$

Substituting the expansion in (14) into (49), we get the expansion

$$I_z c'(t) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} I_{c',\nu}(t), \quad (50)$$

where

$$I_{c',\nu}(t) = \gamma_\nu \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{\Gamma_{c'}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \chi_+^{\frac{1-n}{2}+\nu} (t^2 - |d|^2 - 4|x'|^2) a_\nu(c' - x', x'' + d'', c' + x', x'') dx' dx'' \quad (51)$$

Making the change of variables $x' = t_d y'$ where $t_d = (t^2 - |d|^2)_+^{\frac{1}{2}}$ as in Section 3, expanding $a_\nu(c' - t_d y', x'' + d'', c' + t_d y', x'')$ in a Taylor series in $t_d y'$, and noting that $\chi_+^{\frac{1-n}{2}+\nu}(s)$ is homogenous of degree $\frac{1-n}{2} + \nu$, we get

$$I_{c',\nu}(t) \approx \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} b_{c'\nu p} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \chi_+^{\frac{1-n}{2}+\nu+\frac{k}{2}+p} (t^2 - |d|^2), \quad (52)$$

where

$$b_{c'\nu 0} = \gamma_{\nu 0} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \chi_+^{\frac{1-n}{2}+\nu} (1 - 4|y'|^2) dy' \right) \int_{\Gamma_{c'}} a_{\nu}(c', x'' + d'', c', x'') dx''$$

and the $b_{c'\nu p}$ are integrals over $\Gamma_{c'}$ of derivatives of $a_{\nu}(c' - x', x'' + d'', c' + x', x'')$ in x' at $x' = 0$. Using (15) we see that $b_{c'\nu p}$ is a sum of integrals of polynomials in $Q(x)$ and its derivatives over $\Gamma_{c'}$. Note that integrals in $I_{c',\nu}(t)$ having odd powers of y' in the Taylor formula will be zero. Note also that formula (52) remains true when $\chi_+^{\frac{1-n}{2}+\nu}$ is a distribution, i.e. when $\frac{1-n}{2} + \nu \leq -1$. To check this we use the representation

$$\chi_+^{\frac{1-n}{2}+\nu} (t^2 - |d|^2 - 4|x'|^2) = \left(\frac{1}{2t} \frac{d}{dt} \right)^N \chi_+^{\frac{1-n}{2}+\nu+N} (t^2 - |d|^2 - 4|x'|^2),$$

where $\frac{1-n}{2} + \nu + N > -1$. Now we can change variables $x' = (t^2 - |d|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}_+ y'$ as above and then apply $(\frac{1}{2t} \frac{d}{dt})^N$ to get (52). Collecting (6), (50), and (52), we get

$$\sum_{|\tilde{d}|=|d|} E_{\alpha\beta}^{\tilde{d}}(t) \approx \sum_{|\tilde{d}|=|d|} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} b_{\nu kp}(\tilde{d}) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \chi_+^{\frac{1-n}{2}+\nu+\frac{k}{2}+p} (t^2 - |d|^2) \quad (53)$$

Therefore $\sum_{|\tilde{d}|=|d|} \sum_{\nu+p+\frac{k}{2}=r} b_{\nu kp}(\tilde{d})$ are spectral invariants for $r = 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}, \dots$.

Here we will briefly describe the simplest of these spectral invariants. Since the coefficient a_0 is identically 1, $b_{\nu kp}(\tilde{d})$ with $\nu = 0$ does not depend on q and it is zero for $p > 0$. Thus terms depending on q first appear for $r = 1$. The invariant for $r = 1$ is the sum of $b_{100}(\tilde{d})$ and $b_{020}(\tilde{d})$, and it has the form $c_1 \int_R q(x) dx + c_2$, where c_1 only depends on n and c_2 is determined by R . Thus $\int_R q(x) dx$ is a spectral invariant as claimed in Section 1.

For $r = 3/2$ the terms involving q appear when $\tilde{d}$ has one or more zero components and correspond to $(\nu, k, p) = (1, 1, 0)$. Hence they correspond to integrals of q over the edges of R of codimension one. Other contributions to the invariant for $r = 3/2$ appear only when $\tilde{d}$ has three or more zero components and correspond to $(\nu, k, p) = (0, 3, 0)$.

The invariants needed for the proof of Theorem 1.3 correspond to $r = 2$. When $r = 2$, contributions to the invariant come from $(\nu, k, p) = (2, 0, 0)$, $(1, 2, 0)$ and $(0, 4, 0)$. The $(2, 0, 0)$ -term is the third term in (48), but the $(1, 2, 0)$ -term also depends on q , since it is a linear combination of the integrals of q over edges of codimension 2. Since the index k must be less than or equal to the number of zero components of $\tilde{d}$, we see that

$$\sum_{|\tilde{d}|=|d|} \int_R (q_{\tilde{d}}(x))^2 dx \quad (54)$$

with $d \neq 0$ will be a spectral invariant if $n = 2$, but it will not be an invariant for $n > 2$.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Suppose without loss of generality that $a \leq b$. We consider the case $a < b$ first. Take $v_1 = (a, 0)$. Then $\tilde{d} = (\pm a, 0)$ if $|\tilde{d}| = |v_1|$.

Therefore, using the invariance of (54), $\int_{R_0} q_{v_1}^2(x)dx = \int_{R_0} \tilde{q}_{v_1}^2(x)dx$. Take $v_2 = (0, b)$. If $|\tilde{d}| = |v_2|$ then either $\tilde{d} = (0, \pm b)$ or $\tilde{d} = kv_1$ if $b = ka$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. In both cases we have

$$\int_{R_0} \tilde{q}_{v_2}^2(x)dx + C \int_{R_0} \tilde{q}_{v_1}^2(x)dx = \int_{R_0} \tilde{q}_{v_2}^2(x)dx + C \int_{R_0} \tilde{q}_{v_1}^2 dx,$$

with $C = 0$ in the first case and $C = k^2$ in the second. Therefore

$$\int_{R_0} q_{v_2}^2(x)dx = \int_{R_0} \tilde{q}_{v_2}^2(x)dx.$$

In the case when $a = b$ we have by the invariance of (54)

$$\int_{R_0} (q_{v_1}^2 + \tilde{q}_{v_2}^2)dx = \int_{R_0} (q_{v_1}^2 + q_{v_2}^2(x))dx.$$

Take an arbitrary $d \in L$, such that $d_1 d_2 \neq 0$, and consider all $\tilde{d} \in L$ such that $|\tilde{d}| = |d|$. These $\tilde{d}$'s may have the form $k_1 v_1$ or $k_2 v_2$ or they may have both components nonzero. We label the ones with both components nonzero $\tilde{d}'$. We have by (54)

$$\begin{aligned} & k_1^2 \int_{R_0} \tilde{q}_{v_1}^2 dx + k_2^2 \int_{R_0} \tilde{q}_{v_2}^2 dx + \sum_{|\tilde{d}'|=|d|} \int_{R_0} \tilde{q}_{\tilde{d}'}^2(x)dx \\ &= k_1^2 \int_{R_0} q_{v_1}^2 dx + k_2^2 \int_{R_0} q_{v_2}^2 dx + \sum_{|\tilde{d}'|=|d|} \int_{R_0} q_{\tilde{d}'}^2(x)dx \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

Since $q_{\tilde{d}'}(x) = 0$ for all $\tilde{d}'$, (55) implies $\tilde{q}_{\tilde{d}'}(x) = 0$ for all $\tilde{d}'$. This implies $\tilde{q} = \tilde{q}_1(x_1) + \tilde{q}_2(x_2)$. $\square$

In case when the lattice L satisfies the conditions of Theorem 5.1 we can easily prove a more general result.

Theorem 6.1. Suppose $q(x)$ and $\tilde{q}(x)$ have the same $\alpha\beta$ spectrum on R and their extensions $Q(x)$ and $\tilde{Q}(x)$ are sufficiently smooth in $\mathbb{R}^n$. If $\{a_1^2, \dots, a_n^2\}$ is linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$, $q(x) = q_1(x_1) + \dots + q_n(x_n)$ implies $\tilde{q}(x) = \tilde{q}_1(x_1) + \dots + \tilde{q}_n(x_n)$

Proof: Suppose $d = (d_1, \dots, d_n)$ has no zero components. Since we assume $\{a_1^2, \dots, a_n^2\}$ is linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$, $|\tilde{d}| = |d|$ if and only if $\tilde{d} = (\pm d_1, \pm d_2, \dots, \pm d_n)$. Since none of these $\tilde{d}$'s have zero components, (47), summed over $\{\tilde{d} : |\tilde{d}| = |d|\}$ is a spectral invariant. Thus from (48) we see that (54) is a spectral invariant. Since $q(x) = q_1(x) + \dots + q_n(x)$ and d has no zero components, we have $q_d(x) = 0$. Therefore by (54) $\tilde{q}_d(x) = 0$ for all d with no zero components. If $\tilde{Q}_\delta$ were nonzero for some δ with more than one nonzero component, we could choose a d_0 with no nonzero components such that $\delta \cdot d_0 = 0$. Since this implies $\tilde{q}_{d_0} \neq 0$, we have a contradiction. Hence $\tilde{Q}_\delta = 0$ whenever δ has more than one nonzero component, and we have $\tilde{q}(x) = \tilde{q}_1(x_1) + \dots + \tilde{q}_n(x_n)$. $\square$

Note that in the case of periodic spectrum the analog of Theorem 6.2 was proven in [ERT1] and [GK] under much weaker conditions on the lattice.

§7. Heat trace asymptotics when $t \rightarrow 0$.

Consider the case of the Dirichlet boundary conditions in the rectangle R , $n = 2$. For $|t|$ small we have

$$\begin{aligned} 4 \operatorname{Tr} D(t) &= D_{00}(t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{R_0} E_0(t, x, x) dx \\ &- \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\int_{-a}^a \int_{4x_2^2 \leq t^2} (E_0(t, x_1, -x_2, x_1, x_2) + E_0(t, x_1, b - x_2, x_1, b + x_2)) dx_1 dx_2 \right. \\ &- \left. \int_{-b}^b \int_{4x_1^2 \leq t^2} (E_0(t, -x_1, x_2, x_1, x_2) + E_0(t, a - x_1, x_2, a + x_1, x_2)) dx_1 dx_2 + e^{--}(t) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

where $e^{--}(t)$ has form (11). Note that $E_0(t, x, y)$ is an odd distribution in t :

$$E_0(t, x, y) \approx \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} a_{\nu}(x, y) e_{\nu}^{(0)}(t, |x - y|),$$

where

$$e_{\nu}^{(0)}(t, |x|) = e_{\nu}(t, |x|) - e_{\nu}^{-}(t, |x|),$$

$e_{\nu}^{-}(t, |x|) = 0$ for $t > 0$, $e_{\nu}^{-}(t, |x|) = e_{\nu}(-t, |x|)$ for $t < 0$. Note that $e_{\nu}^{(0)}(t, |x|)$ is a distribution in t depending smoothly on $|x|$. $e_{\nu}^{(0)}$ is odd in t and homogeneous of degree $-1 + 2\nu$ when $n = 2$. In particular when $|x| = 0$ we have

$$e_0^{(0)}(t, 0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} p.v. \frac{1}{t}, \quad e_1^{(0)}(t, 0) = \frac{1}{4\pi} t, \quad e_2^{(0)}(t, 0) = \frac{t^3}{24\pi}.$$

Note that $p.v. \frac{1}{t} = \frac{d}{dt} \ln |t|$ is the only odd distribution (up to a constant) homogeneous of degree -1.

Using (14), (16), (17), we recover (48) in the form

$$\int_{R_0} E(t, x, x) dx = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \ln |t| 4ab - \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{R_0} Q(x) dx + \frac{t^2}{16\pi} \int_{R_0} Q^2(x) dx + O(t^4).$$

Consider one of four integrals in (56) associated with sides of R , for example, $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} I_1(t)$, where

$$I_1(t) = \int_{-b}^b \int_{4x_1^2 \leq t^2} E_0(t, a - x_1, x_2, a + x_1, x_2) dx_1 dx_2.$$

Substituting (14), (16), (17) and making the change of variables $x_1 = ty_1$ we get for $t > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(t) &= \int_{-b}^b \int_{4y_1^2 \leq 1} \left[\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1}{(1 - 4y_1^2)^{1/2}} - \frac{t^2(1 - 4y_1^2)^{1/2}}{4\pi} \int_0^1 Q(a + ty_1 - 2sty_1, x_2) ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{t^4}{24\pi} (1 - 4y_1^2)^{3/2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \left(\int_0^1 Q(a + ty_1 - 2sty_1, x_2) ds \right)^2 \right] dy_1 \end{aligned}$$

$$-\frac{t^4}{24\pi}(1-4y_1^2)^{3/2} \int_0^1 (1-s)s\Delta Q(a+ty_1-2sty_1, x_2)ds \Big] dy_1 dx_2 + O(t^6).$$

We expand $Q(a+ty_1(1-2s), x_2)$ in a Taylor series in ty_1 . Noting that integrals containing odd powers of y_1 are equal to zero and extending $I_1(t)$ for $t < 0$ as an odd function we get

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(t) = \operatorname{sgn} t \Bigg[& \gamma_1 2b + t^2 \gamma_2 \int_{-b}^b Q(a, x_2) dx_2 \\ & + t^4 \left(\gamma_3 \int_{-b}^b Q^2(a, x_2) dx_2 + \gamma_4 \int_{-b}^b Q_{x_1^2}(a, x_2) dx_2 \right. \\ & \left. + \gamma_5 \int_{-b}^b \Delta Q(a, x_2) dx_2 \right) \Bigg] + O(t^6). \end{aligned}$$

Since $Q(x_1, x_2)$ is periodic in x_2 we have $\int_{-b}^b Q_{x_2^2}(a, x_2) dx_2 = 0$.

Next we compute the asymptotic expansion of the four terms in $e^{--}(t)$ associated with vertices of R . Consider, for example, $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} I_2(t)$ where

$$I_2(t) = \int_{4(x_1^2+x_2^2) \leq t^2} E_0(t, -x_1, b-x_2, x_1, b+x_2) dx_1 dx_2.$$

Making the change of variables $x_1 = ty_1, x_2 = ty_2$, for $t > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_2(t) &= \int_{4|y|^2 \leq 1} \left[\frac{tdy}{2\pi(1-4|y|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} - \frac{t^3(1-4|y|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{4\pi} \int_0^1 Q(ty_1-2tsy_1, b+ty_1-2tsy_2) ds \right] dy + O(t^5) \\ &= \gamma_6 t + \gamma_7 t^3 Q(0, b) + O(t^5). \end{aligned}$$

Combining the computations for all terms in (56), and evaluating the γ_i 's, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_R D(t, x, x) dx &= \frac{|R|}{2\pi} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \ln |t| - \delta(t) \frac{|\partial R|}{4} + \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_R q(x) dx \right) \\ &+ \frac{|t|}{16} \int_{\partial R} q ds + t^2 \left(\frac{1}{16\pi} \int_R q^2(x) dx - \frac{1}{32} \sum_{i=1}^4 q(P_i) \right) \\ &- |t|^3 \left(\frac{1}{2^7} \int_{\partial R} q^2 ds - \frac{1}{2^8} \int_{\partial R} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial n^2} q ds \right) + O(t^4). \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

Here $|R| = ab$ is the area of R . $|\partial R| = 2a+2b$ is the length of the boundary ∂R , $\frac{\partial}{\partial n} q$ is the normal derivative of q on ∂R , P_i , $1 \leq i \leq 4$, are the vertices of R .

Denote by $G(t, x, y)$ the heat kernel in R corresponding to Dirichlet boundary conditions. As in Section 4 we use the formula

$$G(t, x, y) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi t}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} D(s, x, y) e^{-\frac{s^2}{4t}} ds. \quad (58)$$

Applying this formula to (57) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_R G(t, x, x) dx &= \frac{t^{-1}}{4\pi} |R| - \frac{t^{-1/2}}{8\sqrt{\pi}} |\partial R| + \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_R q(x) dx \right) + \frac{t^{1/2}}{8\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{\partial R} q ds \\ &+ t \left(\frac{1}{8\pi} \int_R q^2(x) dx - \frac{1}{16} \sum_{i=1}^4 q(P_i) \right) - t^{3/2} \left(\frac{1}{16\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{\partial R} q^2(x) ds - \frac{1}{32\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{\partial R} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial n^2} q ds \right) + O(t^2). \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

To illustrate the flexibility of the method we are using we will do one more example. Consider the case of the Dirichlet boundary conditions when $n = 3$, $R = \{0 \leq x_i \leq a_i, i = 1, 2, 3\}$. Denote by $\Gamma_{c_i}, i = 1, 2, 3$, the face $x_i = c_i$ of R where c_i is either 0 or a_i . Let $\Gamma_{c_i c_j}$ be the edge, $\Gamma_{c_i c_j} = \Gamma_{c_i} \cap \Gamma_{c_j}, i \neq j$. The trace $2^3 D_{00}(t)$ is a sum of the following terms:

$\int_{R_0} E(t, x, x) dx$ associated with the interior of R , 6 integrals associated with faces Γ_{c_i} , 12 integrals associated with edges $\Gamma_{c_i c_j}$ and 8 integrals associated with vertices $P_{c_i c_j c_k}$.

Analogously to (57) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_R D(t, x, x) dx &= \gamma_0 \delta''(t) |R| + \gamma_1 \frac{d}{dt} p.v. \frac{1}{t} \sum_{c_i} |\Gamma_{c_i}| + \delta(t) \left[\gamma_{21} \int_R q(x) dx + \gamma_{22} \sum_{c_i, c_j} |\Gamma_{c_i c_j}| \right] \\ &+ \left[\gamma_{31} \sum_{c_i} \int_{\Gamma_{c_i}} q ds + \gamma_{32} \sum_{c_i, c_j, c_k} |P_{c_i c_j c_k}| \right] + |t| \left[\gamma_{41} \int_R q^2(x) dx + \gamma_{42} \sum_{c_i, c_j} \int_{\Gamma_{c_i c_j}} q ds \right] \\ &+ t^2 \left[\gamma_{51} \sum_{\Gamma_{c_i}} \int_{\Gamma_{c_i}} q^2 ds + \gamma_{52} \sum_{\Gamma_{c_i}} \int_{\Gamma_{c_i}} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial n^2} q ds + \gamma_{53} \sum_{c_i, c_j, c_k} q(P_{c_i c_j c_k}) \right] + 0(t^3). \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

Here $|\Gamma_{c_i}|$ is the area of Γ_{c_i} , $|\Gamma_{c_i c_j}|$ is the length of $\Gamma_{c_i c_j}$ and $|P_{c_i c_j c_k}| = 1$ by definition. Using (58) we can easily obtain the heat trace expansion from (60). The derivation of (60) is the same as that of (57). We only mention a precaution needed to handle the change of variables in

$$\int_{\Gamma_{c_1}} \int_R e_0^{(0)}(t, 2|x_1|) dx_1 dx_2 dx_3$$

since $e_0^{(0)}(t, 2|x_1|)$ is a distribution. We have

$$e_0(t, 2|x_1|) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \delta(t^2 - 4x_1^2).$$

Since $e_0^{(0)}$ is odd in t , we have for $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^1)$

$$(e_0^{(0)}(t, 2|x_1|), \phi) = (e_0(t, 2|x|), \phi(t) - \phi(-t)) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(t \delta(t^2 - 4x_1^2), \frac{\phi(t) - \phi(-t)}{t} \right).$$

Note that $\frac{\phi(t)-\phi(-t)}{t} \in C_0^\infty$ and $t\delta(t^2 - 4x_1^2) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \theta(t^2 - 4x_1^2)$ where $\theta(s) = 1$ when $s > 0$, $\theta(s) = 0$ when $s < 0$. Integrating $\theta(t^2 - 4x_1^2)$ over x_1 and changing variables $x_1 = ty_1$ we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (e_0^{(0)}(t, 2|x_1|), \phi) dx_1 = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left(t_+, -\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\phi(t) - \phi(-t)}{t} \right) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left(\theta(t), \frac{\phi(t) - \phi(-t)}{t} \right) = \frac{1}{4\pi} (p.v. \frac{1}{t}, \phi). \quad \blacksquare$$

Similar arguments show that $(e_0^{(0)}(t, 0), \varphi(t)) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \phi'(0) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} (\delta', \phi)$

With a little more effort one can compute the coefficients in (60). They are $\gamma_0 = -(2\pi)^{-1}$, $\gamma_1 = -(8\pi)^{-1}$, $\gamma_{21} = -(4\pi)^{-1}$, $\gamma_{22} = (16)^{-1}$, $\gamma_{31} = (16\pi)^{-1}$, $\gamma_{32} = -(64)^{-1}$, $\gamma_{41} = (32\pi)^{-1}$, $\gamma_{51} = -(64)^{-1}$, $\gamma_{52} = (128\pi)^{-1}$ and $\gamma_{53} = (128)^{-1}$. Applying (58) to the expansion in (60), we get the expansion of the heat trace

$$\begin{aligned} \sum e^{-\mu_j t} = & \frac{1}{8(\pi t)^{3/2}} |R| - \frac{1}{16\pi t} \sum_{c_i} |\Gamma_{c_i}| + \frac{1}{t^{1/2}} \left[\frac{-1}{8\pi^{3/2}} \int_R q(x) dx + \frac{1}{32\pi^{1/2}} \sum_{c_i, c_j} |\Gamma_{c_i c_j}| \right] \\ & + \left[\frac{1}{16\pi} \sum_{c_i} \int_{\Gamma_{c_i}} q ds - \frac{1}{64} \sum_{c_i, c_j, c_k} |P_{c_i c_j c_k}| \right] + t^{1/2} \left[\frac{1}{16\pi^{3/2}} \int_R q^2(x) dx - \frac{1}{32\pi^{1/2}} \sum_{c_i, c_j} \int_{\Gamma_{c_i c_j}} q ds \right] \\ & + t \left[-\frac{1}{32\pi} \sum_{c_i} \int_{\Gamma_{c_i}} q^2 d\sigma + \frac{1}{64\pi} \sum_{c_i} \int_{\Gamma_{c_i}} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial n^2} q d\sigma + \frac{1}{64} \sum_{c_i, c_j, c_k} q(P_{c_i c_j c_k}) \right] + 0(t^{3/2}). \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

These computations can, of course, be carried out for arbitrary assignments of Dirichlet and Neumann conditions on the sides Γ_{c_i} . The only changes are as follows. The integrals in D_{00} corresponding to Γ_{c_i} with the Dirichlet condition are preceded by minus signs while those corresponding to Γ_{c_i} are preceded by plus signs. The integrals corresponding to edges $\Gamma_{c_i c_j}$ with the same boundary condition on Γ_{c_i} and Γ_{c_j} are preceded by plus signs, and the others have minus sign. Finally the integrals corresponding to vertices are preceded by $(-1)^m$ where m is the number of adjoining faces with the Dirichlet condition.

References

- [CH] Courant, R. and Hilbert, D., *Methods of Mathematical Physics, Vol. II*, Interscience, New York, 1962.
- [ERT1] Eskin, G., Ralston, J. and Trubowitz, E., On isospectral periodic potentials in $\mathbb{R}^n$, *Comm. Pure and App. Math.* **37**(1984), 647-676.
- [ERT2] Eskin, G., Ralston, J. and Trubowitz, E., On isospectral periodic potentials in $\mathbb{R}^n$, II, *Comm. Pure and App. Math.* **37**(1984), 715-753.
- [GT] Garnett, J. and Trubowitz, E., Gaps and bands of one-dimensional periodic Schrödinger operators, *Comm. Math. Helvetici* **59**(1984), 258-312.
- [G] Gordon, C., Survey of isospectral manifolds, *Handbook of Differential Geometry*, Vol. 1, 747-778, North Holland, 2000.

- [GK] Gordon, C. and Kappeler, T., On isospectral potentials on tori, *Duke Math. J.* **63**(1991), 217-233.
- [GS] Gordon, C. and Schüth, D. Isospectral potentials and conformally equivalent isospectral metrics on spheres, balls and Lie groups, *J. Geometric Anal.* **13**(2003), 300-328.
- [Gu1] Guillemin, V., Spectral theory on S^2 : some open questions, *Adv. Math.* **42**(1981), 283-298.
- [Gu2] Guillemin, V., Inverse spectral results on two-dimensional tori, *JAMS* **3**(1990), 375-387.
- [GuK] Guillemin, V. and Kazhdan, D., Some inverse spectral results for negatively curved 2-manifolds, *Topology* **19**(1980), 301-312.
- [H] Hadamard, J. *Le problème de Cauchy et les équations aux dérivées partielles linéaires hyperboliques*, Hermann, Paris, 1932.
- [Ha] Hald, O. and McLaughlin, J., Inverse nodal problems: finding the potential from nodal lines, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **119**(1996), no. 572.
- [Hö1] Hörmander, L., *The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Equations, III*, Springer-Verlag, Vienna, 1985.
- [Hö2] Hörmander, L., Uniqueness theorems and wave front sets for solutions of linear differential equations with analytic coefficients, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math* **24**(1971), 671-704.
- [KT] Knörrer, H. and Trubowitz, E., A directional compactification of the complex Bloch variety, *Comm. Math. Helvetici* **65**(1990), 114-149.
- [Mc] McLaughlin, J., Solving inverse problems with spectral data, *Surveys of Solution Methods for Inverse Problems*, 169-194, Springer-Verlag, Vienna, 2000.
- [PT] Popov, G. and Topalev, P., Liouville billiard tables and an inverse spectral result, *Ergodic Thy. and Dyn. Sys.* **23**(2003), 225-248.
- [Z] Zeldich, S., Spectral determination of analytic, bi-axisymmetric plane domains, *Geometric Funct. Anal.* **10**(2000), 628-677.